A Regular Meeting of the Zoning Board of Appeals of the Town of Lancaster, Erie County, New York, was held at the Lancaster Town Hall, 21 Central Avenue, Lancaster, New York, on the 12th day of November 2004, at 8:00 P.M., and there were

PRESENT:

JEFFREY LEHRBACH, CHAIRMAN

JOHN ABRAHAM, JR. MEMBER

ANTHONY ESPOSITO, MEMBER

WILLIAM MARYNIEWSKI, MEMBER

RICHARD QUINN, MEMBER

ARLIE SCHWAN, MEMBER

ROBERT THILL, MEMBER

ABSENT:

NONE

ALSO PRESENT:

JOHANNA M. COLEMAN, TOWN CLERK

RICHARD SHERWOOD, TOWN ATTORNEY

LEN CAMPISANO, ASSISTANT BUILDING INSPECTOR

The Affidavits of Publication and Posting of this Public Hearing are on file and a copy of the Legal Notice has been posted.

PETITION OF FRANCIS SIKORA:

THE CASE TO BE CONSIDERED BY THE Zoning Board of Appeals is that of the petition of Francis Sikora, 537 Harris Hill Road, Lancaster, New York 14086 for one [1] variance for the purpose of constructing a pole barn on premises owned by the petitioner at 537 Harris Hill Road, Lancaster, New York, to wit:

A variance from the requirements of Chapter 50, Zoning, Section 10.D.(4) of the Code of the Town of Lancaster. The area of the proposed accessory structure is one thousand one hundred fifty two [1,152] square feet.

Chapter 50, Zoning, Section 10.D.(4) of the Code of the Town of Lancaster limits the area of accessory structures to seven hundred fifty [750] square feet. The petitioner, therefore, requests a four hundred and two [402] square foot variance.

The Clerk presented and entered into evidence the following items:

Duly executed petition of the applicant with exhibits and schedules attached thereto.

Copy of a letter notifying the petitioner of the time and place of this public hearing.

Copy of a letter notifying owners of property within 100 feet of requested variance of the time and place of this public hearing.

PERSONS ADDRESSING THE BOARD

Francis Sikora 537 Harris Hill Road Lancaster, New York 14086 Petitioner

IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF FRANCIS SIKORA

THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION WAS OFFERED BY MR. LEHRBACH, WHO MOVED ITS ADOPTION, SECONDED BY MR. MARYNIEWSKI TO WIT:

WHEREAS, the Zoning Board of Appeals of the Town of Lancaster has reviewed the application of Francis Sikora and has heard and taken testimony and evidence at a public hearing held before it at 21 Central Avenue, Lancaster, New York, on the 12th day of November 2004, and having heard all parties interested in said application pursuant to legal notice duly published and posted, and

WHEREAS, the Zoning Board of Appeals of the Town of Lancaster has made the following findings:

That the applicant is the present owner of the premises in question.

That the property for which the applicant is petitioning is within a Residential District 1, (R1) as shown on the Zoning Map of the Town of Lancaster.

That the use sought is a permitted use appearing in the Residential District 1, (R1) as specified in Chapter 50 of the Code of the Town of Lancaster.

That no undesirable change will be produced in the character of the neighborhood by the granting of the area variance relief sought.

That no detriment to nearby properties will be created by the granting of the area variance relief sought.

That there are numerous businesses in the Genesee Street/Harris Hill area, which are in close proximity to the subject property, with similar structures to that which is requested by the petitioner.

That the benefit sought by the applicant cannot be achieved by some other method, feasible for the applicant to pursue, other than the area variance relief sought.

That the requested area variance relief is substantial, but should not preclude granting of variance.

That the proposed area variance relief will not have an adverse effect or impact on the physical or environmental conditions in the neighborhood or district.

That the alleged difficulty is self created but not to the extent necessary to preclude the granting of the area variance relief sought.

That this board has taken into consideration the benefit to the applicant if the variance relief sought is granted as weighed against the detriment to the health, safety and welfare of the neighborhood or community by such grant.

That within the intent and purposes of this ordinance the variance relief sought, if granted, is the minimum variance necessary to afford relief.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT

RESOLVED that based upon these findings, the relief sought be and is hereby **GRANTED**-subject to the following condition which in the opinion of this board is an appropriate condition to minimize adverse effects on the character of the surrounding area and to safeguard the public health, safety, convenience and general welfare:

• That the petitioner demolishes the existing storage shed.

The question of the adoption of the foregoing resolution was duly put to a vote on roll call which resulted as follows:

| MR. ABRAHAM | VOTED YES |
|-----------------|-----------|
| MR. ESPOSITO | VOTED YES |
| MR. MARYNIEWSKI | VOTED YES |
| MR. QUINN | VOTED YES |
| MR. SCHWAN | VOTED YES |
| MR. THILL | VOTED YES |
| MR. LEHRBACH | VOTED YES |

The resolution granting the variance was thereupon ADOPTED.

November 12, 2004

ON MOTION DULY MADE, SECONDED AND CARRIED, the meeting was adjourned at 8:22 P.M.

Signed Mann Town Clark

Johanna M. Coleman, Town Clerk and

Clerk, Zoning Board of Appeals Dated: November 12, 2004